

UNITED STATES _ EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	COCTALANCE	
	TIBING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			I WITCHING DOOMET NO.

09/656,299 09/06/00 MOYERSOEN

204,797

ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAR 150 EAST 42ND STREET NEW YORK NY 10017

TM02/0926

EXAMINER

WASYLCHAK, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2164

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/656299		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1	oup Art Unit
	WASYLCHA	T 2	104
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet L	eneath the corres	oondence address
Period for Reply	5		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET $^\circ$ OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FRO	OM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a left NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star 	reply within the statutory minir t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) days v m the mailing date of th	vill be considered timely. s communication .
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $9/6$	100		•
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 			nerits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s)/ - 9		is/are pendi	ng in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
Claim(s) 2, 3, 4, 5, 8		is/are allowe	ed.
☐ Claim(s) /, 6, 7, 9		is/are reject	ed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are object	ed to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject	o restriction or election
Application Papers		requirement	•
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	and the feet the Committee of		
☐ The enceitiantion is objected to by the Everyiner	cted to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	cted to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	cted to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	cted to by the Examiner.		
,	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	• •	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a law of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED	inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents h	ave been	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to a claim foreign priority to a claim for foreign priority to a claim foreign p	inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) If the priority documents hero Deromoter ternational Bureau (PCT)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	_·
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies o □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numble of the Interceived in this national stage application from the Interceived. 	inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) If the priority documents hero Deromoter ternational Bureau (PCT)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies o □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numble of the Certified copies not received: 	inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) f the priority documents h per) temational Bureau (PCT)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	_· · PTO-413
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for foreign priori	inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) If the priority documents her Der) ternational Bureau (PCT)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). nterview Summary,	-· · PTO-413 Itent Application, PTO-15

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

 Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pettit et al (US 5,551,692).

As per Claim 1,

A method of selling and purchasing at least one object of purchase over a computer network, said method comprising the following steps:

a. utilizing a software program on a computer of a purchaser to search for
 and find a site on said computer network offering said at least one object of purchase; /
 col 1, L 33-54. The reference fails to teach the features of software on a purchaser's
 computer.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art, for example in the form of modified cookies to contain purchasing software. It would have been obvious to one of feature for the advantage of immediate exposure to free items and thus increase the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature to increase the possibility of sales.

Art Unit: 2164

b. browsing through an on-line catalog at said site to find said at least one object of purchase, each of said at least one object of purchase having attributed to it a probability of obtaining said each of said at least one object of purchase at no cost to said purchaser; / col 1, L 33-54

- c. selecting said at least one object of purchase for purchase; / col 1, L 33-44
- d. confirming an order for said at least one object of purchase; / col 1, L 33-54.

The reference fails to teach the feature of confirmation.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of avoiding bookkeeping errors and thus keeping an accurate set of books.

- e. determining whether payment must be made for said each of said at least one object of purchase; and / col 1, L 34-54
- f. paying for only those objects of purchase from said at least one object of purchase for which payment was determined to be required in step e. / col 1, L 37-54 As per Claim 6,

A system for selling at least one object of purchase over a computer network, said system comprising:

a. an on-line catalog; / col 1, L 33-44. The reference fails to teach the feature of on-line catalog.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in

Art Unit: 2164

the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of increased product visibility to thus enhance the possibility of future sales.

b. a virtual shopping basket; and / col 1, L 33-44. The reference fails to teach the feature of a virtual shopping basket.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of increased product convenience to thus enhance the possibility of future sales.

- c. software for determining whether a particular one of said at least one object of purchase shall be offered free to a purchaser. / col 1, L 33-44; col 4, 35-59
- 4. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Girouard et al (US 4,982,346)

As per Claim 7,

A system for selling at least one object of purchase over a computer network as claimed in claim 6, wherein said software comprises:

a. a program to produce a user interface allowing a merchant to select one of at least one algorithm for determining whether a particular one of said at least one object of purchase shall be offered free to said purchaser, said selection being with

Art Unit: 2164

regard to one object of purchase in said on-line catalog; / col 24: claim 2. The reference fails to teach the feature of on-line catalog.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of increased product visibility to thus enhance the possibility of future sales.

- b. said at least one algorithm; and / col 24: claim 2
- c. a program to produce a user interface displaying to a merchant the particular one(s) of said one object of purchase in said on-line catalog offered free to purchasers. / col 23: claim 1. The reference fails to teach the feature of on-line catalog.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of increased product visibility to thus enhance the possibility of future sales.

As per claim 9,

A system for selling at least one object of purchase over a computer network as claimed in claim 6, wherein said on-line catalog notifies a potential purchaser of the probability of obtaining at least one item therein at no cost. / col 24: claims 2, 3, 4 and 6. The reference fails to teach the feature of on-line catalog.

Official notice is taken that this feature is old and well known in

Art Unit: 2164

the e-commerce art and / or retail art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement this feature for the advantage of increased product visibility to thus enhance the possibility of future sales.

This action is NON-FINAL. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Wasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 2164 is (703) 308-1396.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 305-3900.

Steven Wasylchak

9 /20/01

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100